ENTERED LODGED ENTERED

MAY 0 5 1994 APR 2 1 1994 Hon. Edward Rafeedie

By Dughaly CLERK UN DEATTLE DISTRICT COURT DEPUTY

FILED RECEIVED

MAY 0 4 1994 70-CV-09213-ORD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY

BY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY

BY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)

vs.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs,

No. 9213 Subproceeding 89-3

CONSENT DECREE
REGARDING SHELLFISH
SANITATION ISSUES

I. PARTIES

A. This Consent Decree is entered into by and between the plaintiffs United States of America, Hoh Tribe, Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, Lower Elwha S'Klallam Tribe, Lummi Nation, Makah Tribe, Muckleshoot Tribe, Nisqually Tribe, Nooksack Tribe, Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe, Puyallup Tribe, Quileute Tribe, Quinault Indian Nation, Skokomish Tribe, Squaxin Island Tribe, Suquamish Tribe, Swinomish Indian tribal Community, Tulalip Tribes, Upper Skagit Tribe, and Yakama Indian Nation, defendant the State of Washington and defendant state officers ("the state defendants"), all of whom,

EVERGREEN LEGAL SERVICES

NATIVE AMERICAN PROJECT

101 YESLER WAY, SUPPOSI

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 1810.

- Plaintiff tribes are federally-recognized Indian tribes. В. The plaintiff tribes, or other tribes or bands of which the plaintiff tribes successors-in-interest, are parties to are treaties with the plaintiff United States executed by their representatives in the 1850's, each of which reserves to the tribes, in substantially identical language, "the right of taking fish, at all usual and accustomed grounds and stations, is further secured to said Indians in common with all citizens of the Territory, and of erecting temporary houses for the purpose of curing.... Provided, however, That they shall not take shell-fish from any beds staked or cultivated by citizens." [quoted from Art. III, Treaty of Medicine Creek, 10 Stat. 1133] Tribes regulate the shellfishing activities of their members to protect public health.
- C. The United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") is the agency of plaintiff United States having primary responsibility for protecting the public from shellfish-borne illness. FDA prepares and publishes the National Shellfish Sanitation Program ("NSSP") Manual of Operations, which contains standards to be used in regulating commerce in clams, oysters, mussels and scallops in order to protect the public from shellfish-borne illness. FDA also publishes the Interstate Certified Shellfish Shippers List, which identifies all persons and entities who have been determined by FDA or by an FDA-approved Shellfish Sanitation Control Agency to be in compliance with the NSSP Manual and whose product may be shipped

CONSENT DECREE -- 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

interstate.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

D. The state regulates shellfish harvest, processing and sale in order to protect public health. The state is a member of the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference ("ISSC"), an organization of states, the shellfish industry, and federal agencies operating under a Memorandum of Understanding with FDA. The ISSC provides a forum for its members to discuss shellfish sanitation issues, and it suggests changes in the NSSP Manual to the FDA.

II. RECITALS

As used in this Decree, "covered claims" means claims of Α. the plaintiffs, set forth in Part I of the Final Pretrial Order approved by the Court in this subproceeding, that relate to the application to or enforcement against the plaintiff tribes of state regulations, or policies which regulate the possession, or disposition of shellfish in order to protect the public from shellfish-borne illness; and the claims of the State of Washington set forth in Part II.B.1. of that Pretrial Order; and any claim of any party related to the authority of the state to regulate treaty shellfishing activities in order to protect the public from shellfish-borne illness, which claim could have been adjudicated in this subproceeding had it been prosecuted to final judgment. For the purpose of determining whether claims could have been adjudicated, reference shall be made to the facts and allegations made in the documents filed with the Court in this subproceeding prior to the date of entry of this Decree which

CONSENT DECREE -- 3

relate to the protection of the public from shellfish-borne illness, including facts and allegations made in the Requests for Determination, the Amended Request for Determination, the Response of the State to the Requests for Determination, and the Pretrial Order approved by the Court pursuant to Local Civil Rules 16 and 16.1 of this Court.

- B. This subproceeding was filed in 1989 seeking declaratory and injunctive relief regarding the treaty right to take shellfish, including a declaration and injunction regarding the authority of the state to regulate treaty shellfishing activities for public health purposes.
- C. The United States and the tribes seeking relief in this subproceeding have claimed that their treaties substantially restrict state authority; these tribes, however, have acknowledged a willingness to abide by state regulation of treaty shellfishing activities to protect public health, so long as such regulation is reasonable and necessary, non-discriminatory, and meets appropriate standards. The United States and these tribes have further claimed that some state shellfish sanitation laws, regulations and policies do not meet this test and cannot be enforced against tribal treaty shellfishing activities.
- D. The state defendants claim that they may regulate Indian treaty shellfishing activities of plaintiff tribes to protect human health, safety and welfare, provided any such regulations are reasonable and non-discriminatory. The state has denied plaintiffs' claims regarding the restricted applicability of its

Without admission or adjudication of any covered claim, and without waiving any objection, claim, or defense with regard to claims other than the covered claims, in settlement of the covered claims the parties have agreed, upon entry of this Consent Decree, to participate in a cooperative investigatory and regulatory program to protect the public from food-borne illness associated with the consumption of contaminated shellfish. The tribes have agreed that the performance criteria and other satisfactory compliance provisions of the NSSP Manual will govern their treaty shellfishing activities, with regard to species to which the Manual In addition, the parties have agreed to mechanisms applies. whereby any plaintiff tribe having treaty shellfishing rights may implement certain shellfish sanitation measures independently of the state.

F. The terms of this Decree are not intended, nor could they be expected, to specify every detail of the operation of the cooperative shellfish sanitation program. The parties have attempted to specify, in the Appendix to the Settlement Agreement which is part of this Decree, some of the details, particularly technical ones, involved in the operation of their cooperative program. Some such details must, of necessity, change as scientific knowledge of shellfish and public health change. Therefore, the parties have established mechanisms in Settlement Agreement and Appendix for the further refinement of their cooperative program.

CONSENT DECREE -- 5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

G. The parties agree that the covered claims raise matters of sovereign interest, and that their settlement of the covered claims as set forth in this Decree is fair, adequate, reasonable, equitable and in the public interest and is made in good faith after arms-length negotiations, and that entry of this Consent Decree is the most appropriate means to resolve the matters covered herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, before the taking of any testimony, before the adjudication of the covered claims, and without admission of any issue of law, fact, or liability by the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

III. ORDER

A. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the covered claims and over the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345, and 1362. Plaintiffs assert, but the state defendants contest, that the Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(3) and this Court's continuing jurisdiction as declared in ¶ 24 of the Declaratory Judgment and Decree of February 12, 1974, 384 F.Supp. 312 at 408. All parties to this Decree, for purposes of the entry and enforcement of this Decree, waive all objections and defenses they may have to the jurisdiction of the Court, or to venue in this District, or to service of process prior to the entry of this Decree but not afterwards.

B. The provisions of this Decree shall apply to and be binding on the parties, their agencies, subdivisions, boards, and commissions, all agents and officers thereof, and all successors

CONSENT DECREE -- 6

and assigns of all such entities and individuals; and each of them are hereby enjoined to comply with the provisions of this Decree. Changes in the organizational structure of a party or any of its agencies, subdivisions, boards and commissions shall have no effect on its obligations under this Decree.

- C. The attached Settlement Agreement, including the Appendix, is hereby incorporated by reference in and made a part of this Decree as if fully set forth herein.
- D. Except as specifically provided for otherwise in the Settlement Agreement, the plaintiffs covenant not to sue or to take any other judicial or administrative action against any state defendant, and the state defendants covenant not to sue or to take any other judicial or administrative action against any plaintiff, or against any member of a plaintiff tribe, for covered claims or for any claims relating to or arising from the filing and litigation of the covered claims and the negotiation, terms, approval and implementation of this Decree.
- E. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Decree in the form presented, any statements made in negotiation and the terms herein may not be used as evidence in any litigation or administrative proceeding.
- F. Each undersigned representative of the parties certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of the Decree and to legally execute, and bind such party to, the Decree.
 - G. The terms of this Decree may be modified only by a

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- H. If for any reason the Court declines to approve this Decree in the form presented, this Decree and the settlement embodied herein shall be voidable at the sole discretion of any party upon written notice to all parties and to the Court.
- I. This Consent Decree shall be effective upon the date of its entry by the Court.
- J. The Court shall retain jurisdiction for purposes of entering such further orders as may be appropriate for the construction, implementation, or enforcement of the Decree. In the event that the jurisdiction retained in this paragraph, or the continuing jurisdiction of the Court over Civil No. 9213 or over this subproceeding, is terminated, this Decree shall be enforceable in the same manner as any final judgment and order of the Court.
- K. The use of the terms "primary responsibility" and "concurrent jurisdiction" in the Settlement Agreement shall not be construed to confer or enlarge the jurisdiction of any plaintiff tribe over non-Indians.

By signature below all parties consent to entry of this Decree

1	
1	as an Order of the Court.
2	DATED AND SO ORDERED the day of, 1994.
3	O. O
4	Edward Cafeede
5	Edward Rafeedie Judge United States District Court
6	
7	PRESENTED BY:
8	huis P. Dyout / Jetter (Me
9	Harold P. Dygert Peter C. Monson Assistant Attorney General United States Dept. of Justice
10	State of Washington
11	Con H. Sules Sunc Gods
12	Phillip E. Katzen, Allen H. John C. Sledd
13	Sanders, Riyaz A. Kanji, Mary Linda Pearson Debora Juarez Attorneys for Suquamish
14	Attorneys for Nooksack, Upper Indian Tribe Skagit, Nisqually, Squaxin
15	Island, Skokomish, Port Gamble S'Klallam, Jamestown S'Klallam
16	and Lower Elwha S'Klallam Indian Tribes
17	Indian Tibes
18	Kichard M. Berley total Amen
19	Richard M. Berley, Marc D. Mason D. Morisset
20	Slonim, John B. Arum Attorney for Tulalip Attorneys for Makah Indian Indian Tribes
21	Tribe /
22	and ho kan Daniel a. Raas
23	Annette M. Klapstein Daniel A. Raas
24	John Howard Bell Harry L. Johnsen Attorneys for Puyallup Attorneys for Lummi
25	Indian Tribe Indian Nation
ı	

CONSENT DECREE -- 9

Office of the Tribal Attorney THE SUQUAMISH TRIBE P.O. Box 498 Suquamish, WA 98392

1		
1	$\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{N}_i$	
2	Illa USoz	Jack to F
3	Allan E. Olson	Jack W. Fiander
_	Sharon I. Haensly	Attorney for Yakama
4	Attorney for Swinomish	Indian Nation
- [Indian Tribal Community	
5		
6	Richard feel	
7	Richard Reich, Eric Nielsen	Steven G. Lingenbrink
1	Attorneys for Quinault Indian	Attorney for Quileute Tribe
8	Nation / Quindale Indian	Accorney for garreace frinc
0	Nacion	
9		01 . / / 1 .
9	A AFI	We had the
10	1 VIOLULE 1	Mar L. War
10	Nettie L. Alvarez	Robert L. Otsea, Jr.
11	Richard S. Ralston	Laura Ann Lavi
**	Attorneys for Hoh Tribe	Attorneys for Muckleshoot
12	•	Indian Tribe
12		
13		$(\cdot)_{\circ}$ $(\cdot)_{\circ}$ $(\cdot)_{\circ}$
_	a caral	
14		1)12 100
	Jeffrey Jon Bode'	Billi Tobin
15	Co-counsel for Nooksack	Co-counsel for Nisqually
	Indian Tribe	Indian Tribe
16		
		- 04
17	heed 6	Harld Ole
	Kevin R./Lyon	Harold Chesnin
18	Co-counsel for Squaxin Island	Edward G. Maloney
ا ۲۰	Indian Tribe	Co-counsel for Upper Skagit
19	Indian irrae	Indian Tribe
20		maran iribe
ا ۲۰	1	
21	11/1/201	
~_	Kathan Helson	•
22	Kathryn Nelson, Amy C. Lewis	
~~	Co-counsel for Skokomish,	
23	Port Gamble S'Klallam,	
	Jamestown S'Klallam	
24	and Lower Elwha S'Klallam	
	Indian Tribes	
25		
- 1	i	

UNITED STATES v. WASHINGTON W.D. Wash. Civil No. 9213
Subproceeding 89-3

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

REGULATION OF TREATY SHELLFISHING FOR HEALTH PURPOSES

CONTENTS

2		
	I.	ESTABLISHMENT OF COOPERATAIVE, INTERGOVERNMENTAL
3	,	SHELLFISH SANITATION PROGRAMS
4		A. Objectives/Applicability Of National Shellfish Sanitation Program Manual
		B. FDA Approved, Independent Tribal Shellfish
5		Sanitation Control Agencies
6		C. Development And Expansion Of Intergovernmental Shellfish Sanitation Programs/Technical
		Assistance
7		
8	II.	LICENSING, INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION FOR INTERSTATE AND INTRASTATE SHIPMENT 6
1		INTERSTATE AND INTRASTATE SHIPMENT 6 A. Tribes May Assume Primary Responsibility For
9		Individual And Tribal Shellfish Operations 7
0		B. State To Exercise Primary Responsibility 9
וטו		C. Tribal Certification Compliance with NSSP Manual Part II
11		D. License Fees
12		
12	III.	SHELLFISH SANITATION CRITERIA AND MEASURES APPLICABLE TO TREATY SHELLFISHING ACTIVITIES
13		TO TREATY SHELLFISHING ACTIVITIES
		B. Emergencies - Additional Measures, Including
14		Closures, May Be Applied To Treaty Shellfishing
15	! •	When Essential In Responding To A Health Emergency
		C. Commercial Harvests From Closed Areas Shall
16		Be Prohibited
17		D. Commercial Harvests From Closed Areas Permissible If Not For Human Consumption 16
		E. Joint Identification And Development Of
18		Protocols, Standards And Other Guidance For
19		Implementation Of The NSSP Manual 17 F. Growing Area Classification/Certification 17
_		i. Glowing area classification/certification 17
20	IV.	EXCHANGE OF REGULATIONS AND DATA/REPORTING
21		ILLNESSES
_	v.	STATE AUDIT OF TRIBAL PROGRAMS
22		
23	VI.	ENFORCEMENT
	VII.	NOTIFICATION
24		1
25	VIII.	DISPUTE RESOLUTION
		A. Matters Reviewable
26		C. Appointment Of Master Expert
27		D. Review Procedure
		·

i	
2	IX. CONSISTENCY OF AGREEMENT WITH NATIONAL SHELLFISH SANITATION PROGRAM/ANTI-DISCRIMINATION PROVISION 34
3	X. AMENDMENTS
4	XI. INTENT TO BIND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS, ETC 36
5	XII. JUDICIAL APPROVAL
6	APPENDIX
7	SHELLSTOCK HARVEST FOR BAIL PROPOSED REQUIREMENTS B
8	SHORT-TERM RELAYING PROTOCOL AND GENERAL REQUIREMENTS C-
9	PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING SANITARY LINES IN SHELLFISH GROWING AREAS
10	PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING SANITARY LINES AROUND WASTEWATER OUTFALLS
12	PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING SANITARY LINES AROUND MARINAS . E-
13	MINIMUM QUALIFICATION FOR STANDARDIZED SHELLFISH INSPECTORS
14	MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR CONDUCTING WATER QUALITY STUDIES
16	MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR CONDUCTING SHORELINE SURVEYS H
17	EXAMPLES OF EFFECTIVE TRIBAL PROGRAMS TO PREVENT SALE OF CEREMONIAL AND SUBSISTENCE HARVEST
18	
19	
20	

.

EVERGREEN LEGAL SERVICES
VATIVE AMERICAN PROJECT
101 YESLER WAY SUITE 101

REGULATION OF TREATY SHELLFISHING ACTIVITIES FOR HEALTH PEURPOSES SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The plaintiff tribes, United States, and State of Washington, in settlement of the health protection issues raised in <u>United States v. Washington</u>, W.D. Wash. Civil No. 9213, Subproceeding 89-3, which involve the scope of state authority to regulate treaty shellfishing activities for shellfish sanittation, agree as follows.

I. ESTABLISHMENT OF COOPERATIVE, INTERGOVERNMENTAL SHELLFTISH SANITATION PROGRAMS

A. Objectives/Applicability of National Shellfish Sanitation Program Manual

The tribal, United States, and state governments, which are parties to this Agreement [hereafter "parties"], recognize that (they share a strong concern for shellfish sanitation and time enforcement of effective measures to protect the public from health hazards associated with shellfish contamination. parties agree that these mutual objectives can be effectively addressed by cooperative, intergovernmental shellfish sanimation programs in which the state and tribes accept varying degrees of responsibility for shellfish sanitation matters related to treaty shellfishing activities. The parties agree that these intergovernmental programs are appropriate not only in protecting public health but also in addressing the jurisdictional issues surrounding treaty shellfishing rights, the special federal/tribal relationship, and the State of Washington In implementing all aspects of this Centennial Accord. Agreement, the parties agree to be guided by the unique legal and

24

25

26

27

28

political status of the tribes, to the extent the level of health protection provided by the programs would not be compromised. The State of Washington agrees to support separate tribal representation in the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference ("ISSC") and other intergovernmental organizations involved in the development of shellfish sanitation standards, data, training or information. The tribes agree that the performance criteria and other satisfactory compliance provisions of the National Shellfish Sanitation Program ("NSSP") Manual, currently in effect, or as subsequently adopted, shall govern their treaty shellfishing activities. The tribes retain the right to propose and pursue changes in the NSSP Manual, in applicable federal law, and in any state shellfish sanitation laws, regulations, or policies. In proposing and pursuing such changes, the tribes will not challenge the application of the terms of this Agreement to them or their members as inconsistent with their treaty rights, except that changes in the NSSP manual claimed to discriminate against treaty shellfishing activities may be challenged on that ground. Nothing in this Agreement shall preclude a tribe from enacting ordinances or adopting regulations more stringent than the NSSP standards.

B. Independent Tribal Shellfish Sanitation Control Agencies

A tribal government may undertake sole responsibility for shellfish sanitation in its treaty shellfishing activities. A tribe having sole responsibility for shellfish sanitation shall be responsible for ensuring compliance in treaty fishing activities with the NSSP Manual, both Parts I and II. The state will have no responsibility for licensing, certifying or

1 inspecting such activities or any shellfish operation of such a tribe, for the purposes of shellfish sanitation. The state also will not conduct any shellfish sanitation enforcement as to such treaty shellfishing. Such tribe could undertake independent classification of growing areas within its usual and accustomed areas, consistent with the concurrent jurisdiction provisions of this Agreement, provided that such tribe may not undertake such classification as to any bed staked or cultivated by citizens unless afforded access to the bed by agreement of the owner or lessee. A tribe in this category is not subject to Parts II, III, §§B-F, V, VI and the Appendix to this Agreement, but may choose to participate in any of the joint technical/protocol development, enforcement, or other intergovernmental measures provided for in those sections.

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The tribes agree that before undertaking sole responsibility, approval will be obtained from the appropriate office of the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") for the tribe's independent shellfish sanitation program ("SSCA"). FDA's approval will be based upon a tribe's ability to meet the standards set forth in the NSSP Manual. In addition to facilities, staff, and other resources of a tribal organization, a contractor, or consultant may be relied upon to establish a tribe's qualifications to act as an independent shellfish sanitation control agency.

The parties recognize that a tribe's status as an independent shellfish sanitation control agency may present questions related to concurrent jurisdiction over growing areas from which both tribal and non-tribal harvest is permitted.

Questions will relate, for example, to initial classification, reclassification, and monitoring of growing areas and response to actual or perceived emergencies. As part of any tribal request for recognition as an independent shellfish sanitation control agency, the state and tribe shall present either a joint proposal to FDA for addressing these jurisdictional questions or a statement of their respective positions on disputed jurisdictional questions. Disputes over whether a particular matter raises a meritorious claim of jurisdiction may be submitted for federal court resolution. Where the state and tribes agree or the court determines that concurrent jurisdiction exists, disputes regarding the appropriate coordination or exercise of such jurisdiction for public health protection shall be resolved through the mechanism described in Part VIII, § A.3 of this Agreement. Any jurisdictional question identified after the approval of a tribe as a SSCA, which for whatever reason, was not addressed earlier shall be addressed and resolved by the state and tribes and submitted to FDA using the same procedure applicable to an initial request for recognition.

C. Development And Expansion Of Intergovernmental Shellfish Sanitation Programs/Technical Assistance

The state shall seek funding for a well-qualified individual who would be employed by the state for the purpose of assisting the tribes in developing expertise in matters, of public health and shellfish sanitation. When funding for this position becomes available or is reasonably anticipated, the state will provide the tribes with a list of qualified individuals who have publichealth expertise and, more specifically, expertise with respect

28

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

to shellfish sanitation. The state, in consultation with the tribes, will select from this list one person whose responsibility would be to advise the tribes with respect to public health and shellfish sanitation. This individual will be a state employee and the state will provide this individual's salary and benefits for a period of five years. contemplated that this individual would be officed in a tribal facility such as the Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (NWIFC) office and will prioritize his or her activities as determined by the tribes. During this time, those tribes desiring to take responsibility for shellfish sanitation matters will seek funding and/or other necessary support to hire and integrate into their tribal shellfish programs persons with experience in public health and shellfish sanitation matters. The state may seek additional funding for the purpose of assisting the tribes in developing expertise in matters of public health and shellfish sanitation.

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The state will provide additional technical assistance to tribes wishing to increase their expertise in matters of public health and shellfish sanitation subject to resource constraints. Examples of technical assistance include training, agreements for use of state laboratory facilities and access to state data, and advice regarding program design and operation.

It is recognized by the parties that the personnel infrastructure necessary for a comprehensive shellfish sanitation program, the wide array of technical expertise, and laboratory support facilities would be more easily attained through intertribal cooperation and sharing of resources. In

EVERGREEN LEGAL SERVICES

establishing the tribes' abilities to accept varying degrees of responsibility leading to being recognized as independent tribal SSCA's, the pooling of tribal resources and expertise shall me considered consistent with the provisions of this Agreement and is encouraged.

The tribes and state agree to establish regular meetingss, at least on a quarterly basis, to discuss shellfish sanitation matters of concern, exchange information and knowledge, and identify and implement mechanisms to further their cooperative, intergovernmental approach, consistent with this Agreement.

Tribes shall be notified of and may participate in all formal FDA and state shellfish sanitation training programs. The Department of Health will use its best efforts to gain FDA approval of a state laboratory certification officer. Upon application, the state's lab certification officer will determine whether the applicant's laboratory meets the requirements of Part I of the NSSP Manual. This shall not preclude FDA certification of a tribal laboratory.

II. LICENSING, INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION FOR INTERSTATE AND INTRASTATE SHIPMENT

Any state or tribal licensing or certification decision regarding a tribal or individual tribal operation and relating to shellfish sanitation shall be consistent with this Agreement. The State agrees that, for the purpose of complying with this Agreement, a tribe may license individual tribal members and nonmember assistants to harvest, and to sell the shellfish theey have harvested, under the tribe's state license and certification number, provided that the licensing of nonmember assistants

27

28

Complies with the ruling of the court in <u>United States v.</u>

<u>Washington</u>, 384 F.Supp. 312, 412 (W.D. Wash. 1974) (Ruling on Fisheries' Question No. 20).

A. Tribes May Assume Primary Responsibility For Individual And Tribal Shellfish Operations

Although not approved as an independent shellfish sanitation control agency, a tribe may assume primary responsibility for the inspection and licensing of shellfish operations subject to its jurisdiction by obtaining FDA recognition that a tribal employee, consultant, or agent satisfies the qualifications for becoming a "standard," in accordance with FDA procedures, and as provided in the NSSP Manual. Such responsibility includes responsibility for ensuring compliance of individual tribal harvesters and tribally authorized shellfish dealers with Part II of the NSSP Manual. This Agreement provides for the recognition of such tribal standards. This provision, the parties agree, is a step toward tribal autonomy with respect to the regulation of shellfish This provision also reduces burdens on the state sanitation. with respect to routine inspections. The standard shall have the education and experience described in the Appendix, Attachment F.

The standardization requirement may be satisfied by the standardization of a qualified employee, consultant, or agent of a tribal organization or other entity from which it may obtain the required evaluation services.

A "standard" who is to provide evaluation services to a tribe must satisfy all requirements of the FDA or the NSSP which apply to state standards, including but not limited to periodic-reevaluations, unless FDA determines that a particular

requirement, or requirements would impair a tribe'ss ability to undertake primary shellfish sanitation responsibility and can be waived without compromising public health protection. A standard shall not have any inspection authority as to an operation in which he or she has any proprietary or financial insterest, employment relationship or managerial responsibility.

Tribes under this Part II §A agree to obtain as state shellstock shipper or shucker/packer license and certificate of approval and state certification to the FDA for incolusion on the Interstate Certified Shellfish Shippers List (ICSSLL) provided that such licensing and certification shall be deemsed a voluntary division of responsibility in furtherance of establishing cooperative, intergovernmental shellfish sanitation programs. Tribes and individual tribal operations obtaining as state license and certification pursuant to either this Part II, §A or the following Part II, §B shall not thereby become subject to any state shellfish sanitation laws, regulation, or enforcement authority, except as expressly provided in this Agreement.

The state will not license individual shellfish operations within the jurisdiction of tribes under this Part III, §A. The names of the individual shellfish operations will appear separately on the ICSSL. Individual shellfish operations within the jurisdiction of tribes, for purposes of this Agreement, means any shellfish operation within the territorial jurissdiction of the tribe, in which the tribe or any tribal member(ss) own an interest in excess of 50% and exercise actual management control. The tribe shall notify the state of all such individual shellfish operations. In licensing individual shellfish operations, the

tribe may retain direct responsibility for compliance with specific components of Part II of the NSSP Manual.

The "standard" relied on by the tribe shall inspect. evaluate and, as necessary, initiate sanctions against any shellfish operation within the jurisdiction of the tribe. standard will perform these functions in accordance with Part of the NSSP Manual, as provided by tribal ordinance or The standard will advise the state and FDA of his pr regulation. her inspection schedule and will provide copies of all inspection reports, as well as prompt notice of any adverse action taken im regard to a shellfish operation. A state and/or FDA standard will be permitted to accompany the tribal standard on any inspection. A state standard may independently conduct only succi inspections as are reasonably necessary to audit the tribal program, pursuant to Part V of this Agreement. Any dispute over whether state inspections are reasonably necessary to audit the tribal program shall be subject to dispute resolution pursuant == Part VIII, §A.3.

B. State to Exercise Primary Responsibility

The state will have primary responsibility for certain shellfish sanitation matters as to treaty shellfishing activities by any tribe not itself a shellfish sanitation control agency arm not having primary responsibility for inspection and licensing sprovided herein, unless the tribe notifies the state that the tribe chooses not to permit shellfishing for commercial purposes, does not itself engage in commercial shellfishing activities, and identifies effective tribal controls to prohibit subsistence and ceremonial harvests from being diverted to commercial use.

10

11

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 || Effective controls are described in Part III, §C of this Primary responsibility means primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with Part II of the NSSP Manual. Accordingly, the state shall license, certify, and inspect operations over which it retains primary responsibility. sanction, if any, against a tribe or individual tribal operation shall be limited to license denial, suspension, modification or revocation. Any action against a tribe shall be conducted as a dispute resolution pursuant to Part VIII, §A.3 of this Agreement with those responsible for dispute resolution having the power to deny, suspend, modify or revoke the tribal license. actions against individual tribal operations shall be conducted under the state administrative process.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 l

11

12

13

15

16

19

20 |

21

22

23

24

25 |

26 |

27 |

28

Tribal Certification -- Compliance with NSSP Manual Part II.

If the state believes any tribe employing an FDA recognized standard, or any shellfish operation subject to the jurisdiction of such tribe, is out of compliance with Part II of the NSSP Manual, the state shall so notify the tribe in writing, detailing the deficiencies. A reasonable opportunity to take corrective action shall be offered, consistent with Part II of the NSSP Manual. Where consistent with the findings of a tribal standard and with Part II of the NSSP Manual, the state may, for purposes of the FDA's Interstate Certified Shellfish Shipper's List, withhold or withdraw the certification of an individual shellfish operation within the tribe's jurisdiction, if the deficiencies are not corrected. The state may also initiate action under the dispute resolution provisions, Part VIII, to suspend, modify or revoke the license of any tribe employing an FDA recognized

standard which fails, under this subsection, to comments or insure compliance with Part II of the NSSP Manual.

D. License Fees

The state shall not charge a fee for licensing any tribal treaty or individual treaty shellfishing operation are provided for in this Agreement.

III. SHELLFISH SANITATION CRITERIA AND MEASURES APPELICABLE TO TREATY SHELLFISHING ACTIVITIES

A. Compliance With NSSP Manual Sufficient

The parties agree that compliance with the performance criteria and other satisfactory compliance provisions set forth in the NSSP Manual, with the terms of this Agreement, and with all applicable federal laws or regulations governing shellfish sanitation, is adequate to protect public health. The tribes agree to regulate their treaty shellfishing activities, either independently or in conjunction with the state as provided herein, to maintain such compliance. Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, the state will not apply its shellfish sanitation laws, regulations, or policies to the tribes or their members.

The Manual currently covers clams, mussels, oyseters, and scallops. The state and tribes agree to develop, as necessary, a cooperative approach for health regulation of any smaellfish species subject to the tribes' treaty right which is not covered by the NSSP Manual. This approach shall be consisteent with and modelled after the approach taken herein with respect to clams, oysters, mussels and scallops. The approach shall provide for:

1) comparable opportunities for increasing, and ultimately

establishing exclusive tribal shellfish sanitation control: this includes but is not limited to primary reliance on tribal licensing, inspection, and other regulation; 2) an application of state sanitation laws to treaty shellfishing which is limited to emergency situations and those situations where a tribe has not yet developed an effective program for protection of public health; such application shall not discriminate against treaty shellfishing activities;

- joint development of regulatory protocols and decisions;
- 4) exclusive tribal enforcement over members where a tribe has its own regulatory system in place; and
- 5) intergovernmental cooperation.

The state and tribes shall use the process outlined in the Appendix, Attachment A to develop this approach, prioritized according to the level of health concern. Any disputes as to the state's legal authority in regard to species subject to the tribes' treaty right and not presently covered by the NSSP Manual, including questions involving the impairment of treaty shellfishing rights, may be submitted to the federal court. Other disputes shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of this Agreement. In the interim, if the state seeks to apply a state shellfish sanitation law to a treaty tribe, with respect to species subject to a tribe's treaty right and not covered by the NSSP Manual, the state shall seek the 26 | tribe's agreement including, where appropriate, voluntary agreement to state licensure, inspection and compliance with standards applied to non-treaty shellfishers. If the tribe

22 |

objects, the state may submit the matter to the federal court for resolution, provided that Part III, §B. of this Agreement shall apply in the case of a health emergency.

- B. Emergencies Additional Measures, Including Closures, May Be Applied To Treaty Shellfishing When Essential In Responding To A Health Emergency
- 1. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the state may take summary administrative action against the tribal operation including license suspension, closing of growing areas and seizure or recall of product, in the case of a health emergency. A health emergency is a situation involving an immediate danger to the public health requiring immediate action. The state may take only such action as is necessary to prevent or avoid the immediate danger to the public health and justifies use of the emergency action.
- 2. The state agrees that in the case of a health emergency it will notify affected tribes of the situation and will provide available, relevant data as soon as possible. Consistent with the gravity of the health threat and the need for immediate response, the state will provide affected tribes an opportunity for prior consultation and prior technical/policy review.
- 3. Health emergencies may occur, for example: where established shellfish sanitation standards such as paralytic shellfish poison levels are exceeded; where harvesting areas are implicated in human illness; in the case of a catastrophic polluting event of unknown impact such as floods and oil or sewage spills; where contaminants present unknown health risks as was the case with domoic acid; where commercial shellfish operations engage in the sale of shellfish from closed areas

contrary to the provisions of this Agreement; or where a tribal

shellfish operation fails to meet a critical standard as defined

in Part II of the NSSP Manual.

4. The state shall defer to measures adopted by a tribe to address a health emergency, where such measures would effectively protect public health. Any tribe affected by the state's action in the case of an alleged health emergency may invoke the dispute resolution mechanism described in Part VIII, §3 of this Agreement to challenge the appropriateness of the emergency measures, either before or after they are implemented, but such measures shall remain in effect until resolved otherwise through dispute resolution.

C. Commercial Harvests From Closed Areas Shall Be Prohibited

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 |

20 11

21 (

22 |

23

24

25 |

26

27 |

- 1. A closed area is an area from which commercial shellfish harvest is not permitted under the terms of this Agreement. Each tribe will prohibit commercial harvest from closed areas and the sale of shellfish from closed areas. Sanctions authorized by tribal law for violation of such provisions shall be sufficient to deter prohibited conduct. The state and tribes agree that any harvest for human consumption from growing areas which are closed, based on a sanitary survey or marine biotoxin report, is undesirable. The state and tribes also agree that such harvest should be discouraged through educational or other means.
- 2. Consistent with subsistence needs for all relevant species, each tribe will impose restrictions or measures to prevent the unlawful diversion to commercial use of shellfish harvested for subsistence purposes. These could include subsistence bag limits, restricting subsistence harvest in closed

1 areas to monitored conditions, or such other controls as are The tribe shall provide the state specific 3 || descriptions of the tribe's restrictions and/or other measures for review and comment. It is agreed that the current tribal monitoring systems and controls described in the Appendix, Attachment I, represent examples of effective restrictions or Sanctions authorized by tribal law for violation of provisions established under this paragraph shall be sufficient to deter prohibited conduct.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

- Tribes will notify the state shellfish sanitation program in advance of any ceremonial harvest from closed areas which does not comply in all respects with the limitations on closed area subsistence shellfishing. The ceremonial harvests will be subject to tribal controls similar to those enacted or adopted to prevent the diversion of subsistence harvest into the commercial market.
- If after review and comment the state does not agree 18|| that the tribe's controls for ceremonial or subsistence harvest 19 | would be effective, the matter may be referred to dispute 20 | resolution.
- Consistent with the limitations on the release of criminal record information and any other confidentiality 22 | requirements imposed under state law, the state shall timely 23 11 24 notify the tribes of all citations and/or arrests for violation of RCW 69.30.110, and any other state law imposed to prevent the 261 unlawful diversion to commercial use of shellfish harvested for 271 non-commercial purposes, issued or made by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife ("WDFW") officers and the disposition of

those cases. Each tribe shall timely notify the state of all
citations and/or arrests for violation of ordinances or
regulations enacted or adopted under paragraphs (1), (2), and (3)
of this section and the disposition of those cases, provided that
the tribes shall not be required to submit information different
in kind or with any greater degree of specificity or breadth of
disclosure than the state submits to the tribes.

- 6. After reasonable notice and an opportunity to correct deficiencies, a state licensed tribe shall be subject to suspension or revocation of its license and certification for failure to reasonably prosecute tribal members for violation of ordinances and/or regulations enacted or adopted under paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this section or which fails to timely notify the state of arrests, citations and the disposition of such cases. Any such action to suspend or revoke a tribe's state license shall be subject to dispute resolution as provided in this Agreement.
- 7. The state will not enforce the state's presumptive commercial limit as to the exercise of treaty shellfishing rights by any member of a tribe which complies with the regulatory and enforcement provisions set forth in paragraphs (1) through (5) of this section.
- D. Commercial Harvests From Closed Areas Permissible If Not For Human Consumption

Notwithstanding Part II, §C, tribes may engage in or authorize closed area shellfishing for bait, seed, or other use which does not involve human consumption, consistent with the protocols referenced in the Appendix, Attachment B. Consistent

3 4 5

with the protocols presently developed or developed in the future, and referenced in the Appendix, Attachment A, a tribe, prior to doing so, shall prepare and submit a plan to the state for review and concurrence. The state shall complete its review of the plan within 30 days after receipt.

E. Joint Identification And Development Of Protocols, Standards And Other Guidance For Implementation Of The NSSP Manual

The state and tribes have identified certain elements of the NSSP Manual which they believe require agreed approaches to implementation. These include, among others, standards regarding short-term relays, the placement of sanitary lines, bait and seed harvest, and training requirements. Such shellfish sanitation considerations are addressed in the Appendix to this Agreement, which Appendix is incorporated herein by reference.

The Appendix contains protocols for certain matters and procedures and timetables for completion of others. The state and tribes, by agreement, may alter, expand, or limit the measures, protocols, or other provisions set forth in the Appendix, other than Attachment A.

F. Growing Area Classification/Certification

The state and tribes agree that growing areas shall be classified and certified according to criteria set out in section C and other applicable portions of Part I of the NSSP Manual. Conditionally restricted and conditionally approved classifications will be utilized according to protocols agreed to by the state and tribes, which recognize budget limitations. The adoption of additional or more specific criteria and measures to implement the Manual shall be by joint agreement of the state and

tribes, pursuant to Part II, §E above.

The state will not, as a prerequisite to growing area classification, require a tribe to demonstrate ownership, leasehold interest, or permission from any owner, lessee, or land manager, of a growing area within the tribe's usual and accustomed areas and any portions thereof which are not "beds staked or cultivated by citizens" as adjudicated or as agreed to by the affected parties including any affected landowners. shall any review and concurrence as to a non-health related matter, such as fish resource use priorities, be a condition of such classification. The state may otherwise continue to request a demonstration of ownership or landowner permission as a prerequisite to growing area classification. It will be the responsibility of the tribe to resolve any challenge to its treaty right in a particular growing area. The state may postpone action on a classification application until the dispute is resolved.

Tribal applications for the classification of new growing areas will be treated separately from nontribal applications for purposes of prioritization. The state agrees to set aside at least 50 % of funds and other resources available for the classification of new growing areas for use in acting on tribal applications for the classification or reclassification of growing areas. This percentage shall be reevaluated by the state and tribes at such time as any tribe is recognized by FDA as an independent shellfish sanitation control agency. The state and tribes agree to seek additional appropriations for classifying and restoring areas identified by the tribes. For the tribes,

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20 ||

211

22

23 |

241

25 ||

26

1 such funding efforts may focus on increasing the ability of the 2 | tribes to gather the data and develop the evaluative expertise for classification and restoration. By joint agreement among the tribes, the tribes will develop criteria for prioritization of tribal applications and identify a priority ranking, provided that, if the tribes fail to reach agreement on such ranking at a particular time, the state shall proceed to act on the applications by random selection among such tribal applications as have been filed with the department.

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

181

231

Before initially classifying a growing area or changing a growing area classification, the state shellfish program will notify affected tribes of facts indicating that a classification may be appropriate or a classification change may be necessary. The state and tribes will jointly determine a time period for collection of pertinent information and analysis consistent with the protocol for data collection and analysis developed as indicated in the Appendix, Attachment A, Group 2 (d). Following such analysis, the state shellfish program will draft a proposed classification decision and submit the draft to all affected tribes for review and comment. The tribes will provide review and comment on a proposed classification decision, if any, 22 11 within thirty days.

Where an immediate downgrade in classification, or a closure, is required by the NSSP Manual because of a failure to 25 | meet the minimum classification criteria of the NSSP, and where 26 | such failure does not constitute a health emergency as defined in 271 Part III, §B of this Agreement, then the action required by the NSSP shall be taken. Prior to the action and at the earliest

possible time, the state will notify affected tribes of the action and of facts the state believes demonstrate the need for the action under the NSSP. Within ten days of the action and as expeditiously as possible, the state and affected tribes will consult regarding the action and shall jointly determine the need and the time period for further investigations to confirm the failure to meet NSSP criteria. The state and tribes may agree to extend this period as appropriate. Thereafter, the downgrade or closure decision shall be subject to dispute resolution as provided in Part VIII of this Agreement. Any immediate downgrade in classification, or closure, which is required by the NSSP Manual because of a failure to meet the minimum classification criteria of the NSSP and which also constitutes a health emergency as defined in Part III, §B of this Agreement, shall be handled as such an emergency under Part III, §B.

. 7

111

13

14

15

16

17 11

20

21

22

Any final decision regarding an initial classification or reclassification shall reflect a thorough consideration of all information and analysis supplied by a tribe and tribal comments, which have been timely submitted.

Plans and procedures for water sampling, shoreline surveys, monitoring, and other investigative work related to the classification, reclassification, restoration, or monitoring of growing areas subject to tribal harvest shall be jointly developed and agreed upon by the state and tribes. Any tribe who wishes to participate in such investigative work may do so in accordance with the agreed plans and procedures. Such participation will be encouraged and shall be a joint and cooperative process between the tribe and state, conducted

through mutual consultation and sharing of expertise. Any tribe who wishes to conduct any water quality studies or shoreline surveys, other than shoreline survey on private land outside the tribe's reservation boundaries, may do so in accordance with the agreed plans and procedures and consistent with the expertise and training requirements provided in the Appendix, Attachments G and H. Tribes conducting shoreline surveys on private lands outside their reservation boundaries will do so only in conjunction with state or county health officials. Both the state and tribes may audit the investigative work performed by the tribes for compliance with the NSSP Manual.

The state or a tribe will notify affected parties to this Agreement of its intent to conduct investigative work referenced in this Agreement at least one week prior to conducting such work. The state or a tribe planning to conduct such work will notify affected parties to this Agreement of any change in circumstance requiring deviation from the plan or schedule. A telephone call shall be sufficient notice for purposes of this paragraph. Tribes who do not wish to participate in routine growing area monitoring shall advise the state that notice to them of routine monitoring is not necessary.

IV. EXCHANGE OF REGULATIONS AND DATA/REPORTING ILLNESSES

Except as to the issuance of regulations for emergency purposes as described in Part III §B of this Agreement, the state and tribes shall distribute among themselves for review and comment any proposed new or amended provisions of their shellfish sanitation laws or guidance. At least thirty days will be provided for review and comment of a draft. At least fifteen

days will be provided for review and comment of a final proposed shellfish sanitation law or guidance. The state and tribes will also distribute any shellfish sanitation data among themselves upon request. FDA will provide the tribes copies of all NSSP Manual interpretations and Manual updates.

Consistent with applicable confidentiality requirements, the state and tribes will immediately report to all parties to this Agreement information, within their possession, regarding any shellfish-related, human illness.

V. STATE AUDIT OF TRIBAL PROGRAMS

The state may audit the shellfish sanitation activities of tribes to evaluate compliance with this Agreement. Such audits shall consist of periodic or occasional inspections of facilities, places, or records, or interviews with persons responsible for shellfish sanitation activities.

Where audit activities are conducted in person, the state auditor shall, prior to or upon arrival, identify himself or herself to the person in charge of the facility, place, or records, and notify the tribal shellfish sanitation contact identified pursuant to Part VII of this Agreement. The tribal contact or his or her designee shall have the right to accompany the auditor(s). The audit need not be delayed due to the unavailability of the tribal contact or designee. Promptly upon request, the tribe shall be provided a copy of all field notes, reports, findings, conclusions, and written criteria produced during an audit or used by the state to audit tribal compliance with this Agreement.

23 |

27 |

.12

14.

1 VI. ENFORCEMENT

- A. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to enlarge the authority of state officers on reservations and any Indian trust lands. Nothing in this Part VI shall be construed to pertain to, restrict or alter the enforcement of laws other than the shellfish sanitation laws of the parties.
- B. Each tribe shall bear primary responsibility for enforcement of shellfish sanitation laws against its members and shellfishing permittees within its reservation, any tribal trust lands, or within the tribe's usual and accustomed areas. To the full extent permitted by applicable law, each tribe shall also have primary responsibility against nonmember Indians within its reservation or on any lands held in trust for the tribe or its members. Any tribe may, at its discretion, refer to the state for prosecution in state courts any violation of tribal law which is also a violation of state law.
- C. The state shall bear primary responsibility for the enforcement of state shellfish sanitation laws against: 1) non-Indians: 2) any Indian where the violation occurs outside of any Indian reservation, Indian trust lands, and outside the usual and accustomed fishing places of the tribe of which the violator is a member: and 3) nonmember Indians within a tribe's reservation or on any lands held in trust for the tribe or its members when, under applicable law, such nonmembers are not subject to the jurisdiction of the tribal court and jurisdiction lies with the state.
- D. It is the intent of the state and tribes that, notwithstanding the existence of comparable laws of the State of

Washington, and unless provided to the contrary elsewhere in this Agreement, violations of tribal shellfish sanitations laws by members of tribes or by tribal licensees shall be prosecuted in tribal courts.

2 |

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

161

17

20

21

22

23 1

241

25 !!

26 1

27 |

- If an enforcement officer of either the staate or a tribe finds a person subject to the primary enforcement reesponsibility of the other entity, under Part VI, §B or §C, to be in violation of the bag limits, growing area closures, or other sshellfish sanitation laws of the entity having primary responssibility, the discovering officer shall contact a law enforcement officer of the entity primarily responsible using common means enforcement communication such as radio over common frequency, telephone, or use of a dispatcher utilized by the pazrty having primary responsibility. The officer having primary responsibility shall take such action regarding the offender and any associated evidence or forfeitable property as hee or she deems appropriate, including arrest, citation, or reequesting the discovering officer if authorized under applicable liaw, to detain or continue to detain the violator and to seize or reetain specified evidence or property pending the arrival off the officer having primary responsibility. A state officer may nold or seize any shellfish grown, harvested, transported, shipped_, processed, or sold by a treaty tribe member in violation of this Agreement.
- F. If an officer having primary responsibility under this Part VI cannot be contacted within a reasonable time (not less than 30 minutes), the discovering officer will take the minimum action within his or her authority which is needed to protect officer safety and to prevent the loss or destruction of evidence

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

191

20

21

22 |

23

24

25

27

- G. If a tribe does not have a regulatory prohibition against an activity which is in violation of the Agreement and led to a hold or seizure under §E. of this Part, the state officer may take appropriate action with regard to the product as provided by applicable state law.
- H. The state and tribes shall maintain a proper chain of custody of all evidence and proper receipts for any forfeitable property.
- I. The enforcement entity having primary jurisdiction shall notify the enforcement supervisor of the discovering party, in a timely manner, of any hearing or trial date which the discovering officer must attend. The discovering entity shall make its officers available for hearings and trial, and shall provide reasonable cooperation in the prosecution.
- J. Where any entity has commenced a civil, criminal, or administrative enforcement action arising from a violation within the primary jurisdiction of another entity, dismissal shall be requested upon notice that the entity having primary jurisdiction

has commenced an action in its own jurisdiction against the same offender and for the same incident.

- K. Within a reasonable time after referral of a violation, and at least semiannually, the entity having primary responsibility shall, consistent with confidentiality requirements, notify the referring entity of the status or disposition of all referred cases, including whether and what charges were filed, the amount of any fines, and the nature of any other penalties, including permit suspension or revocation, restrictions, or probation which were imposed.
- L. If the entity with primary responsibility does not initiate a prosecution within a reasonable time, not less than ninety days, following referral, the referring entity, if authorized by applicable law and with the agreement of the entity having primary responsibility, may take such action under its laws, consistent with this Agreement, as it deems proper.
- M. All net proceeds from the sale of confiscated property shall be delivered to the entity prosecuting the case, provided that, if more than one entity initiates the prosecution, such proceeds shall be delivered to the entity having primary enforcement responsibility for the offense.
- N. The enforcement supervisors of the state and tribes shall meet as needed (at least annually for the first three years following the effective date of this Agreement, and thereafter at least every two years) to discuss matters related to implementation of this Agreement, including the exchange of information regarding violations, the training of officers, and the planning of joint patrols or other joint operations.

O. In addition to the cooperative procedures set forth in paragraphs (B) through (N) above, the state and tribes agree that cross-deputization of their fisheries enforcement personnel is desirable in order to augment their respective enforcement capabilities. "Cross-deputization" means the issuance of special commissions authorizing one entity's law enforcement officers to issue citations, make custodial arrests, and otherwise act as enforcement officers of the other entity, as specified in a cross-deputization agreement. Each tribe agrees to deputize WDFW enforcement officers to enforce tribal prohibitions on commercial harvest from closed areas, tribal bag limits, and other tribal shellfish sanitation laws adopted pursuant to Part II, §C above, provided the following conditions are met:

- 1. The WDFW officer satisfies the minimum criteria (other (than tribal membership criteria, if any) required to be commissioned as a fisheries enforcement officer of that tribe; and
- 2. WDFW agrees to deputize fisheries enforcement officers of that tribe to enforce state shellfish sanitation statutes and regulations.

WDFW shall not require, as a condition precedent to deputizing tribal officers, that those officers meet any more stringent criteria than are required to be a commissioned WDFW enforcement officer.

The state and tribes agree to use their best efforts to develop, within eighteen months after the effective date of this Agreement, a form of cross-deputization agreement that will specify procedures and requirements for cross-deputization, consistent with the terms of this Agreement, provided that tribal officers, under such agreement, are required to meet the minimum

28 11

23 |

24 İ

criteria required of commissioned WDFW officers and further provided that such agreement addresses the liability concerns cof the state and tribes to their mutual satisfaction.

VII. NOTIFICATION

20 |

25 |

To comply with the various notice provisions of this

Agreement, each tribe that is a party to this Agreement shall

designate an individual and an alternate who shall serve as thee

state's contact for purposes of notification. The state and FDDA

likewise shall each designate an individual and alternate who

shall serve as the tribes' contact for notification purposes.

Written notice to one or the other of the identified individuals

shall be construed as sufficient notice under this Agreement.

Facsimile transmission may be used, so long as it is followed byy

delivery or mail of the original.

VIII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

A. Matters reviewable

Except where an alternative dispute resolution mechanism is expressly provided for in other sections of this Agreement, including the Appendix attached hereto, this section shall govern the resolution of all disputes arising from the implementation of this Agreement. Any reference to dispute resolution in a particular section of this Agreement shall not be construed to limit the availability of dispute resolution as to other matterss.

The parties recognize three kinds of disputes potentially arising from the implementation of this Agreement: first, those involving legal issues such as determinations of jurisdiction or interpretation of state or tribal law or of this Agreement; second, those involving the interpretation of NSSP Manual

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

appropriate forum.

- 1. Any party to this Agreement may invoke the jurisdiction of the federal court to resolve legal issues related to the implementation of this Agreement, provided that the tribes will not challenge in court the application of the terms of this Agreement to them or their members as inconsistent with their treaty rights.
- 2. The FDA and the ISSC have established a mechanism for resolving ambiguities in the compliance standards set out in the NSSP Manual of Operations, using the Interpretations process. The state and tribes shall utilize this process to resolve any disputes involving ambiguities in the compliance standards set out in the NSSP Manual of Operations and the decision of the FDA shall be binding on the parties.
- 3. The parties recognize a difference between preliminary decisions involving the day-to-day administration of shellfish sanitation programs by the state or the tribes and which

1 generally involve data collection and preliminary analysis, and final decisions such as growing area classifications, which are 3||based on such data and preliminary analysis. Unless provided otherwise in this or a subsequent agreement of the state and 5 tribes, the dispute resolution process described hereunder shall 611 be available with respect to decisions of the latter sort but not 7 of the former. The dispute resolution process described 8 hereunder shall also be available to review the propriety of 9 generally applicable policies or procedures employed or proposed 10 | to be employed by the state or a tribe in the implementation of this Agreement; the failure of the state or a tribe to provide required notice to or to consult with another party; the failure of the state to follow tribally-determined growing area classification priority list; any decision of a tribe or the state, clearly made in violation of a specific prohibition or requirement of this Agreement; and any decision of a tribe or the state that would result in irreparable harm to the party seeking review. The dispute resolution process described hereunder shall also be available to resolve license actions taken by the state, as provided in this Agreement, and the decision maker shall have 211 the authority, in such actions, to deny, suspend, modify, or revoke a license. A preliminary action not directly subject to dispute resolution shall be reviewable as part of a decision, based on such preliminary action, which is subject to dispute resolution.

11 ||

12 |

13

15

16 |

171

25 ||

261

27

The FDA and an independent tribal SSCA having a dispute involving satisfactory compliance with the NSSP Manual which has a direct public health significance may submit the dispute for

resolution through the ISSC Unresolved Issue process, provided that, after completion of that process or in lieu thereof, a tribe may, at its option, request an informal hearing, under 21 CFR Part 16, subject to judicial review in accordance with the federal Administrative Procedures Act.

B. Dispute Resolution Committee

The state and tribes shall each appoint one individual having experience in public health and shellfish sanitation, to form the Dispute Resolution Committee. At the time of submission of a matter to dispute resolution, the state and the tribes shall each identify a qualified member. Upon agreement of the state and the tribes, either may appoint additional qualified members of the Committee to serve in a particular case.

The decisions of the Committee shall be by consensus and binding upon the state and tribes. The state or affected tribe may seek federal court review of any legal issues that remain unresolved.

In the event consensus is not reached, the state and/or affected tribe(s) may request FDA, within fifteen days after conclusion of the committee process, to provide technical assistance to resolve the matter. In disputes regarding satisfactory compliance with the NSSP Manual which have direct public health significance, FDA will provide such technical assistance to the Dispute Resolution Committee. The technical assistance shall consist of expertise in public health and shellfish sanitation and the application and implementation of the requirements of either Part I or Part II of the NSSP Manual, or both Parts, depending on which Part or Parts is at issue. The

1 | technical assistance will be made available as soon as possible. If within ten days after FDA receives the request, FDA has not provided such technical assistance, any party to the dispute resolution proceeding may invoke the master expert procedure in §C. below. Any party to the dispute may also invoke the master expert procedure when consensus has not been reached either prior to or following the provision of technical assistance by FDA.

Appointment of Master Expert

If the members of the Dispute Resolution Committee are unable to resolve a dispute by consensus, or if the state or any affected tribe is dissatisfied with the decision of the Committee, then such entity may, within fifteen days after the conclusion of the Committee process, give notice to FDA of its intent to utilize a master expert. FDA shall maintain a list of persons eligible to serve as a master expert, which persons shall be considered by FDA to have expertise in public health and shellfish sanitation and the application and implementation of the requirements of either Part I or Part II of the NSSP Manual, or of both Parts, depending on which Part or Parts are at issue in the dispute. In compiling the list, FDA shall seek suggestions from the tribes and the state, which may include FDA employees.

The state and affected tribe(s) shall select by agreement a person from the list to act as master expert in the dispute. FDA employee will not be used as a master expert without agreement of FDA. FDA will select a person from the list, to act as a master expert if the parties cannot agree. A non-FDA master expert shall be reasonably compensated by the non-prevailing

2

3

5 11

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

party, provided that if the master expert's decision is reverse the party prevailing on appeal shall be reimbursed by the opposing party for compensation paid the master expert. The master expert shall be authorized to make a decision binding on the state and affected tribes. FDA will receive notice of the decision. FDA will have thirty days to review the decision before it becomes final and shall make, in writing within the thirty-day period, any objection it has to the decision. The master expert will be responsible for keeping minutes of any dispute resolution proceeding in which he or she is involved.

Any party aggrieved by the master expert's decision or aggrieved by FDA's objection to the master expert's decision, shall have the right to appeal, within thirty days, either determination to the federal court, or as otherwise provided by law.

D. Review Procedure

Disputes shall be submitted for review by a brief, written statement setting out the points of disagreement and the submitting party's position and reasons. Within seven week days of delivering the statement to the decision maker(s) and to other involved parties, any other involved party may submit a written response, briefly stating its position and the reasons. The parties shall be provided an opportunity for an oral or telephonic presentation and submission of supporting documents. A written decision shall be issued within 30 days after the submission was received, provided that a decision may be postponed for a reasonable period of time to obtain additional information that is likely to aid in resolving the dispute. In

3 |

5 !

6 il

7 | 8 |

9 |

11 |

13

15

17

16 |

18 <u>|</u>

20

21

22

23 |

25 | 26 |

27 |

an emergency, the decision may be delivered orally, with a written memorandum of decision issued shortly thereafter. In the event a matter involving an emergency has been submitted, the matter shall be determined as expeditiously as possible but no later than 3 business days after receipt by the body issuing the decision.

The Committee or the master expert may adopt such additional review procedures as they deem appropriate, so long as adopted in consultation with the state and tribes and so long as consistent with the provisions of this Agreement. Within 180 days following Court approval of this Agreement, the Dispute Resolution Committee shall prepare and disseminate to the state and tribes for their comment a document setting forth the review procedures contained in this Agreement and any additional, generally applicable procedures adopted.

In the alternative to any other means of dispute resolution authorized by this Agreement, and absent objection by any interested party, a party may invoke the processes of the ISSC to resolve the issue.

IX. CONSISTENCY OF AGREEMENT WITH NATIONAL SHELLFISH SANITATION PROGRAM/ANTI-DISCRIMINATION PROVISION

In agreeing to this settlement, the United States, through the Federal Food and Drug Administration, confirms that the cooperative, intergovernmental shellfish sanitation programs provided for, and the other divisions of responsibility and authority contained herein, do not conflict with the National Shellfish Sanitation Program or current provisions of federal law applicable to shellfish sanitation. Compliance with this

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

111

121

13

14

15

16 |

17

181

191

201

21 İ

22 |

231

X. AMENDMENTS

because of this Agreement.

The parties recognize that individual tribes, groups of affiliated tribes, the tribes collectively, the state or the FDA may wish to amend this Agreement or to reach new agreements governing shellfish sanitation and, to that end, any of these entities or groups may propose an amendment for consideration by the parties. Unless the parties agree otherwise, or a compelling reason exists for more frequent amendment, proposed amendments shall be considered at an annual meeting to review the parties progress in implementation.

Agreement will not jeopardize FDA certification of the state of

tribal programs or be the cause for any federal punitive action.

The FDA specifically agrees not to sanction the state for any

shellfish operations, so long as such treatment is consistent

with this Agreement. The FDA further agrees to counsel member

states of the Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference (ISSC)

harvested in Washington State, whether by tribes or others,

against imposing any barrier to interstate commerce of shellfish

difference in the way the state treats tribal and nontribal

Until an amendment or a new agreement is adopted by the parties, and court approval is obtained where required, this Agreement shall be binding.

24 !

25

26 |

27 İ

XI. INTENT TO BIND POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS, ETC.

It is the desire of the parties to this Agreement that it shall bind all agencies, officers, boards, commissions, and political subdivisions of the parties to the greatest extent allowed by law. It is the position of the state, however, that it may lack authority to bind all its political subdivisions and, in particular, local law enforcement and prosecutors. The state shall provide a summary and a copy of this Agreement to county prosecutors, county sheriffs, and local health jurisdictions in waterfront counties and make every reasonable effort to ensure that they will conform their actions to the agreed upon scope of state authority. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to bar a tribe or its members from challenging local enforcement based on treaty right violations as well as other grounds, if it exceeds the state's authority under this Agreement.

XII. JUDICIAL APPROVAL

10.

22 |

This Agreement shall become effective upon signature of the authorized representatives of the parties and approval of the Court in <u>United States v. Washington</u>, Subproceeding 89-3. This Agreement is not intended and shall not be construed as the admission of any party, as findings of fact, conclusions of law, or the interpretation or construction of the law applicable to this case. No party shall be considered to have prevailed with respect to resolution of this issue or shall be entitled to its costs or fees.

DATED	this	dav	οĒ	April,	1994
		~~1		,	

1	Much P. Durat
2	Harold P. Dygert Assistant Attorney General
3	State of Washington
4	My 4. Kenderk
5	Phillip E. Katzen, Allen H.
6	Sanders, Riyaz A. Kanji, Debora Juarez
7	Attorneys for Nooksack, Upper Skagit, Nisqually, Squaxin
8	Island, Skokomish, Port Gamble S'Klallam, Jamestown S'Klallam
9	and Lower Elwha S'Klallam Indian Tribes
fai	Kieland II Porlan
11	Richard M. Berley, Marc D.
12	Slonim, John B. Arum Attorneys for Makah Indian
13	Tribe
14	
14	av n ku
	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell
15	Annette M. Klapstein
15	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup
15 16 17	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup Indian Tribe
15 16 17 18	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup Indian Tribe Allan E. Olson Sharon I. Haensly
15 16 17 18 19	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup Indian Tribe Allan E. Olson
15 16 17 18 19	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup Indian Tribe Allan E. Olson Sharon I. Haensly Attorney for Swinomish
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup Indian Tribe Allan E. Olson Sharon I. Haensly Attorney for Swinomish
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup Indian Tribe Allan E. Olson Sharon I. Haensly Attorney for Swinomish
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Annette M. Klapstein John Howard Bell Attorneys for Puyallup Indian Tribe Allan E. Olson Sharon I. Haensly Attorney for Swinomish

. 27

28 i

talle
Peter C. Monson
United States Dept. of Justice United States of America
John C. Sledd
Mary Linda Pearson
Attorneys for Suquamish
Indian Tribe
•

Mason D. Morisset Attorney for Tulalip Indian Tribes

Daniel A. Raas Harry L. Johnsen Attorneys for Lummi

Indian Nation

Jack W. Fiander Attorney for Yakama Indian Nation

	Riema lail
1 !	Richard Reich
2	Eric Nielsen -
3	Attorneys for Quinault Indian Nation
4	
5	Nittel
6 [Nettie L. Alvarez Richard S. Ralston
7	Attorneys for Hoh Tribe
8	
9	
10	Jeffrey Fon Bode' Co-counsel for Nooksack
11	Indian Tribe
12	
13	Type 1
14	Kevin/R. Lyon Co-counsel for Squaxin Island
15	Indian Tribe
16	2 2 2
17	John Helm
18	Kathryn Nelson, Amy C. Lewis Co-counsel for Skokomish,
19	odmeseown s Kraffam
20	and Lower Elwha S'Klallam Indian Tribes
21!	
22	
23 1	en en en en en en en en en en en en en e
24	
25 l	
26	
071	

281

Steven G. Lingenbrink Attorney for Quileute Tribe

Robert L. Otsea, Jr. Laura Ann Lavi Attorneys for Muckleshoot Indian Tribe

Bill Tobin Co-counsel for Nisqually Indian Tribe

Harold Chesnin Edward G. Maloney Co-counsel for Upper Skagit Indian tribe

APPENDIX

Group I

With regard to each of the following activities, the state and tribes will adhere to the protocols set forth in the designated attachments to this Appendix, as agreed mechanisms for implementing the Agreement and the NSSP Manual:

- a). Harvest from closed areas for bait or other use which does not involve human consumption, Attachment B, (Bait and non-consumptive use Protocol);
- b) Short-term relay, that is, relay in which shellfish are held in approved waters for a period of 60 days or less, Attachment C, (Short-term Relay Protocol);
- c) Location of sanitary lines at the boundaries of areas having different growing area classifications pursuant to Part I of the NSSP Manual, Attachment D, (Sanitary Line Protocol);
- d) Establishment of prohibited areas surrounding marinas and point source discharges of sewage or other contaminants, Attachment E, (Point Source and Marina Closure Protocol);
- e) Procedures and requirements for approval of state or tribal agents as "standards" for the implementation of Part II of the NSSP Manual, Attachment F; and
- f) Minimum qualifications for personnel conducting shoreline surveys and water quality studies, Attachment G.

Group 2

For the following activities, there is a need to jointly develop protocols which will insure compliance with the NSSP:

- a) Long-term relay, that is, relay in which shellfish are held in approved waters for a period of more than 60 days (Long-Term Relay Protocol);
- b) Harvest from closed areas for seed, (Seed Harvest Protocol);
- c) Depuration; and
- d) Data collection and analysis for purposes of growing area classification.

The state and tribes, through the Technical Team established below, will make their best efforts to develop the protocols in this category within two years following their execution of this Agreement and its approval by the Court. The Technical Team shall meet at least once each quarter following approval of this Agreement, according to a schedule to be developed by the Team. If the Team fails to agree on a draft protocol within two years, any party who has participated in the Team may invoke the dispute resolution procedures of this Agreement.

Group 3

In addition to those matters identified in groups 1 and 2 above, additional matters may come to the attention of the parties, as to which there may be a need to develop policies or protocols, in order to implement and comply with the NSSP Manual, consistent with the principles of this Agreement. The parties also recognize that retail food service regulation for public health protection is another matter which may need to be addressed as to off reservation treaty shellfish activities. If in the future, the NSSP Manual is amended to provide for additional standardized processes other than growing area classification, the parties will develop protocols to provide the tribes an opportunity to obtain standardization with respect to those processes. time as the parties may agree, but at least every two years, policy representatives designated by each party shall meet and identify any such matters, which shall be referred to the Technical Team for action. The first such policy meeting shall occur no later than eighteen months after the effective date of this Agreement. Additional such matters may be referred to the Technical Team at any time by agreement of the state and one or more tribes.

Technical Team

Each tribe or group of tribes will identify a technical representative, and the state will identify one or more technical representatives, who shall constitute the Technical team. The purpose of the team is to cooperatively assemble and evaluate information regarding shellfish sanitation, and to develop proposed policies and protocols, based on sound scientific and statistical methods, to be presented to policy representatives of the parties for approval.

The Team may in its discretion appoint working groups, coordinators, or other officers, and may adopt a work plan and any procedures it deems useful. Decisions of the Team shall, where possible, be made by consensus and within 30 days after a matter is presented for consideration. Where consensus cannot be achieved, the Team shall present majority and minority reports to the parties' policy representatives for consideration. Issues

which cannot be resolved by agreement of the parties' policy representatives shall be referred to dispute resolution under this Agreement.

Draft protocols and guidance based on scientific method, developed by the Team, shall become effective as to any tribe upon approval by such representatives of that tribe and of the Washington State Department of Health.

SHELLSTOCK HARVEST FOR BAIT

PROPOSED REQUIREMENTS

- Any person desiring to harvest molluscan shellfish for use as bait must first obtain a permit from the state or tribal regulatory authority.
- Bait harvest shall only be allowed in designated areas at specified times.
- Bait shellstock shall be dyed with an approved dye, such as FD&C #1 Blue, before being transferred from the harvest area, unless the shellstock remains under direct regulatory supervision until dyed. Such shellstock shall be labeled "NOT FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION-BAIT USE ONLY." Bait shellstock shall be stored in a location physically separated from product intended for human consumption.
- Bait shellstock must be completely immersed in dye to impart a visible color to the shellstock.
- All bait harvesting activities and dying of shellstock shall be done under immediate regulatory supervision.
- Complete records of all bait harvesting activities shall be kept, including harvest location, date, quantity, species, and distribution of product.
- Bait shellstock found in violation of these requirements shall be subject to immediate seizure and destruction.

SHORT-TERM RELAYING PROTOCOL

AND GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

The practice of relaying involves the harvest of shellfish from polluted waters and, therefore, strict controls over the harvest, transport, laying down, surveillance, and reharvest are necessary to prevent contaminated shellfish from entering commercial channels and posing the threat of shellfish-related disease outbreaks. In addition, significant resources are required to adequately monitor relay activities.

<u>Definitions</u>

Approved Area: The classification of a shellfish growing area which has been approved by the state shellfish control agency (SSCA) for growing or harvesting shellfish for direct marketing. The classification of an approved area is determined through a sanitary survey conducted by the SSCA in accordance with Section C of Part 1 of the National Shellfish Sanitation Program Manual of Operations. An approved shellfish growing area may be temporarily made a closed area when a public health emergency resulting from, for instance, a hurricane or flooding, is declared.

Commingling: The act of combining different lots of shellfish.

Container: A container such as a bag, tray or float used to hold shellfish during the purification process.

<u>Container Relaying:</u> The transfer of shellfish from restricted areas to approved or conditionally approved areas for natural biological cleansing in a container using the ambient environment as a treatment system.

<u>Department:</u> The Washington Department of Health, Office of Shellfish Programs.

<u>Harvester:</u> A person who takes shellfish by any means from a growing area. A harvester may be a person, firm or corporation ultimately responsible for harvest operations.

Long-term Relay: A relay operation that utilizes purification times of more than 60 days.

Lot of Shellfish: A collection of bulk shellstock or containers of shellstock of no more than one day's harvest from a single defined growing area harvested by one or more harvesters.

Attachment C

National Shellfish Sanitation Program (NSSP): The cooperative program for the certification of interstate shellfish shippers as described in the National Shellfish Sanitation Program Manual of Operations, Parts I and II. Foreign countries may participate by having an effective agreement with the FDA.

<u>Prohibited Area:</u> State waters that have been classified by the state shellfish control agency as prohibited for the harvesting of shellfish for any purpose except depletion. A prohibited shellfish growing area is a closed area for the harvesting of shellfish at all times.

<u>Reharvester:</u> A person, firm or corporation who reharvests the purified shellfish after relaying. The Harvester/Reharvester may be the same or different entities.

Relaying: The transfer of shellfish from restricted areas to approved areas for natural biological cleansing using the ambient environment as a treatment system.

Restricted Area: State waters that have been classified by the state shellfish control agency as an area from which shellfish may be harvested only if permitted and subjected to a suitable and effective purification process.

Short-term Relay: A relay operation that utilizes purification times of 60 days or less.

State Shellfish Control Agency: The state agency or agencies having legal authority to classify shellfish growing areas and issue permits for the interstate shipment of shellfish in accordance with the provisions of the NSSP Manual of Operations, Parts I and II.

Responsibilities of Parties						
Dept. of Health *	Harvester	Reharvester				
Classification of the growing area.	Complete and submit application.	Complete and submit application.				
Review and approve the application by the harvester.	Submit Harvest Schedules.	Identify Relay Site.				
Review and approve the application by the reharvester.	Identify harvest sites.	Maintain shellfish identification during relay.				
Review and approve harvest and relay sites.	Properly label harvested shellfish.	Maintain records of placement and reharvest.				
Coordinate harvest surveillance.	Maintain harvest records.	Record environmental measurements such as water temperature as required by DOH.				
Assist in and approve verification studies, including design.	Submit samples as required.	Sample collection as required by DOH.				
Collect and analyze samples.	Conduct harvest surveillance.	Relay site surveillance and security.				
Interpret data.						
Conduct water quality monitoring.						
Review Records.						
Facilitate regulation enforcement.						

^{*} A treaty tribe may perform certain of these functions as provided in this agreement.

REQUIREMENTS FOR RELAYING SHELLFISH Administration and Permits

The harvester/reharvester must complete an application for a Shellfish Operation License and Certificate of Approval.

The harvester/reharvester must complete an <u>Application for Relaying Shellfish</u>. The application must be approved by the Department of Health. The relay permit shall run concurrently with the certification period (certificates expire on September 30). Copies of the relay application will be forwarded to other state agencies having an interest, such as the Departments of Fisheries, Natural Resources and Parks.

The Department shall respond to relay applications within 30 days of receipt.

A harvest schedule, which includes specific dates and times of harvest, shall be submitted with the application. The Department must be notified of any changes to the schedule.

The method of deposition of laying down of shellfish at the relay site must be outlined and approved by the Department.

It should be recognized that conditions in the marine environment during certain times of the year may render the natural purification process and subsequent bacterial reductions ineffective. Low water temperature and salinities are the primary factors contributing to this effect. Therefore, the Department reserves the right to approve or deny relay permits on a seasonal basis.

Approval of the relay operation may be subject to cancellation due to failure to comply with these requirements or as a result of adverse conditions at either the initial harvest site or the relay area.

Monitoring and Standards

The initial harvest site must be classified as Restricted or Conditionally Restricted. The relay site must be classified as Approved or Conditionally Approved.

A verification study shall be performed to show that the relay is effective in reducing bacteriological contamination (see Verification Study Procedures and Monitoring Requirements for Commercial Relay Operations).

The relay area must be at least 25 feet from adjacent, approved shellfish and may require greater separation as determined by the Department.

Shellfish samples from both the initial harvest site and the

relay area shall be maintained and furnished to be Department as required.

Surveillance: Short Term

A detailed surveillance plan must be submitted by the harvester/reharvester. It must specify surveillance activities to be performed by the harvester/reharvester to insure the security of relayed product for the duration of the relay. The surveillance plan will be evaluated and a determination will be made as to what Departmental resources will be expended on surveillance activities. The surveillance plan must be approved by the Department.

The initial harvest site must be well defined. It shall be the responsibility of the harvester to identify the site with appropriate markers as required by the Department.

The relay area must be well defined. It shall be the responsibility of the reharvester to identify the relay area with appropriate markers as required by the Department.

Site visits may be made by Department staff to both the initial harvest site and relay area.

The relay area must lend itself to effective surveillance.

The harvester shall be responsible for effective supervision and management of the harvest. Supervision shall include methods to insure:

- Product is removed only from the designated harvest site.
- Product is transferred exclusively to the approved relay site.
- 3. Records of harvesters and quantities harvested are maintained.

The reharvester shall be responsible for the effective supervision and management of the transport, laying down of the contaminated shellfish, relay site surveillance and security, and the reharvest of the purified shellfish.

The method and route from the initial harvest site to the relay site must be outlined and approved by the Department.

For container relay, harvest tags stating "For Relay Only" in indelible ink must be attached to each container of shellfish to be relayed.

Records

All lots of relayed shellfish shall be identified by waterproof tags or labels throughout the relay process, including a specific number for each lot. However, bulk or ground relay can be marked with poles, pipes, or other suitable means.

Accurate, written records shall be maintained and submitted on a monthly basis or more frequently as determined by the Department. Such records must be available for inspection by the Department at any time.

Relaying records shall consist of initial harvest area location, initial harvest dates and quantities harvested, dates of placement in the relay area and quantities placed, relay area location, and dates of removal from the relay area and quantities removed.

VERIFICATION STUDY PROCEDURES AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS FOR SHORT TERM COMMERCIAL RELAY OPERATIONS

A verification study is required prior to approval of relay to determine if the process is effective in reducing bacteriological contaminants. This document sets forth the procedures to be used to establish relay effectiveness, as well as the monitoring requirements necessary for approved relays. Prior to initiating a verification study, the proponent must submit a completed relay application for review by the affected tribe and the Department of Health. Approval by the Department of Health of that application is required before the study is initiated.

Verification study procedures.

To determine relay effectiveness, at least two verification studies shall be conducted. Verification studies shall not run concurrently, but may be initiated one week apart. A decision as to the maximum length of time the study will be run must be made prior to initiating the test relay. Shellfish test lots that do not meet the required bacteriological endpoint will be destroyed or returned to the original harvest area at the conclusion of the verification study.

Verification studies shall be conducted as follows:

- a. Sufficient shellfish shall be harvested from the restricted area to approximate commercial relay conditions. The same relay process (bags, trays, floats, etc.) shall be used in the study as is intended for the commercial operation.
- b. Department or tribal staff will collect at least five representative 0-day samples from the restricted area for bacteriological analysis.
- c. Department or tribal staff will collect at least five samples from the relayed product after seven days—and five samples after fourteen days. An additional five samples will be collected at the endpoint of the relay if a prior decision has been made to proceed with a relay longer than fourteen days. Succeeding samples may be taken at a frequency determined by the Department and the tribes.
- 2. Evaluation of relay effectiveness. The endpoint relay samples shall be used to establish a geometric mean for each verification study. The fecal coliform geometric mean of the endpoint relay samples shall not exceed 75/100 grams and no values shall be greater than 230 fecal coliforms/100 grams.

Both verification study lots must meet the required endpoint criteria for the relay to be approved. A decision as to whether to proceed with further verification studies in the event that the initial test relays do not sufficiently reduce contaminants shall require a comprehensive review of the proposed relay by the proponent, the Department and the tribes. All commercial relays will be required to run at least fourteen days unless sufficient data has been collected to show that a shorter time period will consistently reduce bacteriological contaminants to the required level. In no case will the required time period be reduced below fourteen days until the relay has successfully operated for at least six months.

3. Monitoring of approved commercial relays. In addition to sampling of relayed shellfish, additional water quality monitoring must be conducted at the relay site. Monthly water samples shall be collected in the relay area (at least three stations) to assure that approved area criteria are being met.

The first four lots of relayed shellfish shall be sampled at the relay endpoint (at least five samples per lot). Further monitoring will be conducted as determined by the Department and the tribes. If relay times of less than fourteen days are approved, each lot shall be sampled before relay and at the endpoint, until sufficient data has been collected to justify decreasing sampling frequency.

The permit to relay may be subject to cancellation if any test lot of relayed shellfish fails to meet the established endpoint criteria. A retest shall be conducted immediately if product exceeds endpoint standards. If the endpoint is exceeded in lot follow-up, an investigation will be conducted, after which a determination will be made if the relay should continue.

PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING SANITARY LINES

IN SHELLFISH GROWING AREAS

Sanitary Lines are established in shellfish growing areas to define the location of the various harvest classifications recognized under the NSSP Manual. Factors considered in establishing such lines include the following:

- Prohibited areas around point source outfalls are established in accordance with criteria set forth in Part I of the NSSP Manual (see Attachment E.1-3 for details).
- Prohibited areas around marinas are established in accordance with criteria set forth in Part I of the NSSP Manual (see Attachment E.4-5 for details).
- All sampling stations within areas classified as approved must meet the coliform standard based on an annual review of data. Location of the sanitary line defining approved areas is also based on sanitary survey information which describes the location of actual and potential pollution sources and their impact on the growing area.
- Sanitary lines are located such that they are easily identifiable (easily visible land marks, etc.)
- The location of shellfish resources is always taken into account when establishing sanitary lines and sampling stations to maximize resource availability.
- Sanitary lines defining conditionally approved areas are based on detailed studies showing the extent of potential impact of a particular point or nonpoint source(s) on the growing area. The sanitary line is placed such that all sampling stations that meet the coliform standard when the area is open to harvest are included in the conditionally approved area.
- In addition to microbiological data from marine sampling stations, consideration is given to hydrographic characteristics of the area in establishing sanitary lines.

PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING SANITARY

LINES AROUND WASTEWATER OUTFALLS

The Shellfish Program of the Department of Health establishes Prohibited Areas around wastewater outfalls in accordance with the policy outlined in the NSSP Manual. The sections of the NSSP Manual of Operations Part I which describe the factors to be taken into consideration in the establishment of sanitary lines which define the appropriate Prohibited Area include Sections: C.2 (Classification of Growing Areas); C.3 (Approved Areas); C.4 (Conditionally Approved Areas); and C.7 (Prohibited Areas). It should be emphasized that a Prohibited Area is required adjacent to any wastewater outfall of public health significance.

As stated in recent revisions of the Manual, the effectiveness of wastewater treatment processes must be considered in establishing the appropriate classification of nearby shellfish production areas. In particular, the wastewater treatment must be evaluated in terms of the minimum treatment which can be expected with the possibility of malfunctioning, overloading, or poor operations. These evaluations are conducted by the DOH Shellfish Program on a site-specific basis, through technical "reliability" evaluations of the wastewater treatment facilities.

Several factors are taken into consideration by the DOH Shellfish Program in establishing the location of the appropriate sanitary lines for the Prohibited Area (and Conditionally Approved Area if applicable). The principle factors which involve operations in the treatment plant include:

- effluent volume at high and/or low hydraulic loading;
- bacteriological and physical quality of the effluent, and
- identification of factors which can cause plant failure.

In addition, the major hydrographic factors in the receiving waters which need to be taken into consideration include:

- current velocity;
- receiving area geometry;
- direction of travel and stratification;
- location of discharge;
- tidal characteristics;
- orientation and configuration of the outfall pipe and diffuser, and
- physical characteristics of the receiving water.

These factors which are listed above are usually used as input parameters in the CORMIX (Cornell Mixing Zone) modelling program, an Expert System approved by EPA for far-field modelling of

effluent dispersion from outfalls. More infrequently, field studies using dye and/or drogues are utilized by DOH in the establishment of sanitary lines for wastewater treatment plants. The selection of the most appropriate approach to be used is often a site-specific consideration, based on Best Professional Judgment. As stated in the NSSP Manual, the SSCA shall choose the most appropriate method in which to apply the factors previously described. These methods can include hydrographic field and/or computer models pertinent to the discharge and. receiving water application. In addition, a reliability evaluation of the wastewater treatment plant should be conducted to ascertain the principle factors which involve operations at the plant and which affect the quality and quantity of its effluent.

Generally, the DOH Shellfish Program assumes that the bacteriological quality of the effluent is approximated under theoretical upset conditions at the wastewater treatment plant by that of the treated-but-not-disinfected effluent. This is a conservative assumption applicable to most plants evaluated by DOH, and has been previously suggested by FDA in outfall studies. It should be emphasized that the DOH Shellfish Program generally assumes adverse conditions at the plant and in the receiving waters, rather than worst-case conditions, in assuming a theoretical condition of malfunction or poor operation at the treatment plant. This approach is similar to that used by DOE in establishing dilution zones protective of aquatic life.

Hydrographic and receiving water information is usually obtained by DOH from a variety of sources. These sources include DOEmandated mixing studies; ambient monitoring water quality data; as-built plans and field studies from the files of DOE, universities, the permittee, or consultants, and information collected by DOH and FDA. Adverse receiving water conditions are assumed in the CORMIX model iterations.

The sanitary line for a wastewater discharge is established at the location where the water quality is projected to meet the shellfish water quality standard of 14 fecal coliforms/100 ml. In certain situations, depending upon the location of the nearest shellfish resource, no Conditionally Approved Area may be needed to meet this criteria. In such situations, the water quality standard is required to be met at the edge of the Prohibited Area.

In other situations, a Conditionally Approved Area is required adjacent to the Prohibited Area. In these situations, the Prohibited Area serves to provide a transport or transit time, for notification of any plant upset to DOH. DOH is then responsible for notifying any commercial shellfish producers in

the affected Conditionally Approved Area, and to temporarily close down harvesting of shellfish in the Conditionally Approved Area. The cooperation of the treatment plant operators and all certified growers in the Conditionally Approved area with DOH is required for this classification.

PROCEDURES FOR ESTABLISHING SANITARY

LINES AROUND MARINAS

The shellfish closure zones around marinas will be established in accordance with the policy outlined in the current NSSP Manual. As currently stated in the Manual of Operations Part 1, Section C.9:

Determining the impact to adjacent waters will be based upon a dilution analysis for the marina which incorporates the following assumptions:

- i. an occupancy rate of the marina;
- ii. an assumed rate of boats which will discharge untreated water;
- iii. an occupancy rate of two (2) persons per boat;
- iv. a rate of discharge of 2x109 fecal coliforms per person per day;
- v. wastes are completely mixed in and around the marina;
- vi. closure is based upon a theoretical calculated fecal coliform of 14 MPN per 100 ml; and
- vii. closure is based on the volume of water in the marina.

These assumptions are incorporated into the shellfish closure zone analyses conducted for marinas by the DOH Shellfish Program. To facilitate and promote the application of these assumptions for specific marina evaluations, the DOH Shellfish Program uses the Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences (VIMS) computer model, which was obtained from FDA staff. The VIMS model incorporates the specific assumptions listed in the NSSP Manual in Section C.9.

The occupancy rate of a marina is deemed to be the actual (rather than potential) occupancy of the marina during high usage periods, unless a boat count is unavailable. The assumed rate of boat discharge generally applied in marina evaluations is: 50% for recreational craft in marinas without boat waste pumpouts; 30% for recreational craft in marinas that have boat waste—pumpouts, and 10% for commercial boats or boats that have long—term moorage but are infrequently used or occupied. However, as noted in the NSSP Manual, site—specific considerations using Best Professional Judgement can and should be used by the SSCA with respect to sanitary significance relative to actual or potential contamination.

The shellfish closure zone for a marina may be seasonal in nature, based upon the high-use season evidenced at the marina.

For example, the high use season may be during fair-weather months of the year for recreational boats, or during commercial seasons for commercial craft. The sanitary line for the shellfish closure zone is established at the location where the model indicates that the water quality meets the standard of 14 fecal coliforms/100 ml.

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

FOR

STANDARDIZED SHELLFISH INSPECTORS

STANDARDIZED INSPECTORS MUST HAVE A BACKGROUND IN PUBLIC HEALTH THAT INCLUDES EXPERIENCE IN INSPECTION ACTIVITIES AND A GENERAL KNOWLEDGE OF MICROBIOLOGY AS IT RELATES TO FOOD SERVICE SANITATION.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS:

A Bachelor's degree in public health, environmental health or closely allied field and 2 years experience in a public health or environmental health position, including at least one year experience in food service inspection.

Specific training by the Food and Drug Administration and certification as a Standardized Shellfish Inspector is also required. This process includes classroom type instruction for a 4 to 5 day period and joint field inspection activities that may require several months to complete. Field verification inspections and other FDA required training is periodically necessary in order to maintain "standard" status.

TYPICAL DUTIES:

Plans, organizes, and directs the shellfish dealer inspection program. Conducts inspections and determines compliance with national standards and state or tribal regulations as appropriate. Initiates enforcement action as needed. Provides technical review of plans for new construction or remodeling of facilities. Serves as a consultant to shellfish dealers on matters relating to plant sanitation and handling of shellfish. Provides liaison and coordination with FDA, tribal, state and local agencies.

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR CONDUCTING

WATER QUALITY STUDIES

WATER QUALITY STUDIES ARE A KEY COMPONENT OF GROWING AREA CLASSIFICATION THAT REQUIRE AN UNDERSTANDING OF INDICATOR ORGANISM BEHAVIOR IN THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT. PERSONS INVOLVED IN STUDIES MUST HAVE A WORKING KNOWLEDGE OF SAMPLING PROGRAM DESIGN, HYDROGRAPHICS, MARINE MICROBIOLOGY, AND THE FATE OF POLLUTANTS IN THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT.

PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS FOR WATER QUALITY STUDIES:

WATER QUALITY LEAD WORKER: A Bachelor's degree in environmental or physical science, natural science, environmental planning, or other closely allied field and 2 year's experience in environmental monitoring, environmental health or environmental planning. Specific training in water quality monitoring design, sampling procedures, and operation of watercraft is also required.

TYPICAL DUTIES: Plans, organizes and conducts water quality studies in shellfish growing areas. Establishes sampling stations, identifies growing area boundaries, and collects water samples and other environmental data. Organizes data and prepares reports of findings. Trains lower level staff in proper sampling technique, equipment operation, and boating safety procedures.

<u>WATER QUALITY ASSISTANT:</u> Previous experience as an environmental technician or field work related to one of the natural sciences and specific training in water sampling techniques and watercraft operation.

TYPICAL DUTIES: Assists the lead worker in conducting water quality studies in shellfish growing areas. Collects samples and environmental data and records information. Operates watercraft and other sampling equipment.

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR CONDUCTING

SHORELINE SURVEYS

SHORELINE SURVEYS ARE A KEY COMPONENT OF GROWING AREA CLASSIFICATION THAT REQUIRE A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF NONPOINT POLLUTION AND ITS IMPACT ON MARINE WATERS. PERSONS INVOLVED IN CONDUCTING THESE SURVEYS MUST HAVE A PUBLIC HEALTH BACKGROUND INCLUDING EXPERIENCE IN ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH OR ASSESSMENT, AND A GOOD UNDERSTANDING OF ON-SITE WASTE DISPOSAL, POLLUTION TRANSPORT MECHANISMS, AND BASIC MICROBIOLOGY.

PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS FOR SHORELINE SURVEYS:

SHORELINE SURVEY LEAD WORKER: A Bachelor's degree in public health, environmental health or allied science and 4 years experience in a public health or environmental health position, including at least 2 years of field experience evaluating nonpoint water pollution sources and 1 year evaluating or designing on-site sewage systems.

TYPICAL DUTIES: Plans, organizes and conducts the shoreline survey component of sanitary surveys in shellfish growing areas. Trains and directs lower level staff in conducting surveys. Evaluates completed survey documents and develops final report recommendations. Coordinates program activities with state, federal, tribal and local agencies. Provides technical support and consultation on nonpoint pollution and shellfish sanitation.

SHORELINE SURVEY ASSISTANT: A Bachelor's degree in environmental or physical science, natural science, environmental planning, or other closely allied field and one year of experience in environmental analysis, environmental health, or environmental planning. Specific training or experience in evaluating nonpoint water pollution sources and on-site systems is also required.

TYPICAL DUTIES: Assists the lead worker in conducting shoreline surveys of shellfish growing areas. Assesses sources of point and nonpoint pollution, prepares reports and maps of findings and assists in conducting special studies as required. Collects environmental samples as needed.

EXAMPLES OF EFFECTIVE TRIBAL PROGRAMS TO PREVENT SALE OF CEREMONIAL AND SUBSISTENCE HARVEST

The following procedures are agreed to be effective tribal means of preventing the sale of clams, oysters, mussels and scallops (hereinafter "shellfish") taken in tribal ceremonial and subsistence (C&S) fisheries.

I. EXAMPLE ONE

- 1. All areas fished by the tribe shall be closed to all harvest of shellfish, unless opened by tribal regulation.
- 2. Each regulation opening an area of tideland shall state the dates and times of both opening and closure, and the species to be available for harvest. Each such regulation shall also identify the harvest area as precisely as practicable, for example, by use of a unique six digit Beach Identification Number (BIDN) assigned by agreement of the tribe and the State of Washington. The BIDN or other harvest area identification shall identify a relatively small area sharing a common growing area classification and common water quality conditions.
- 3. The tribe shall maintain a toll-free phone number with a recorded message regarding current and upcoming openings and closures, or shall maintain another effective method of providing up to date opening and closure information to harvesters.
- 4. One or more tribal personnel ("monitor(s)") shall be present at the growing area throughout every commercial opening, but shall not engage in harvesting. No product shall be allowed to leave the growing area during a commercial opening without inspection by a tribal harvest monitor, who shall prepare a contemporaneous record showing the name of the tribe and a unique identifier of the tribal harvester; the BIDN or other unique growing area identification; the species and estimated quantity of harvest; and the date of harvest. The tribe shall maintain all such records in its custody.
- 5. To facilitate the detection of sale of shellfish taken in a C & S fishery, the tribe shall require that, upon sale of any shellfish, a record of the transaction shall be completed which includes the shellstock shipper license number of the tribe, tribal organization, or tribal member engaging in the harvest; the BIDN or other unique growing area identification number; the species and quantity sold; and the dates of harvest and sale. Where harvest is by a person who does not personally have a shellstock shippers license, the record shall also show the unique identifier of the harvester. A copy of the transaction record shall be distributed to the tribe as soon as possible.

- 6. Tribal fisheries enforcement officers patrol growing areas subject to tribal jurisdiction, conduct routine, scheduled patrols of areas that are open for any type of shellfish harvest, and investigate reported or suspected violations.
- 7. Tribal law specifies that no more than the following quantities of shellfish may be taken by a tribally-licensed harvester in one day for ceremonial or subsistence purposes without a special permit:
 - a) Native littleneck clams, butter clams, cockles, and manilla clams in any combination: fifty pounds in shell;
 - b) Horse clams: fifty clams;
 - c) Oysters: twenty count;
 - d) Geoducks: six geoducks:
 - e) Mussels: ten pounds in shell.
- 8. The above bag limits may be exceeded by special ceremonial or subsistence permit, issued to the harvester by the tribe prior to harvest, which state the place and time at which harvest will be permitted, the species and quantity that can be taken, and the name of the harvester.

II. EXAMPLE TWO

- 1. Tribal regulations that govern tribal ceremonial, subsistence, and commercial fisheries are enforced by tribal enforcement staff conducting routine scheduled patrols of growing areas subject to tribal jurisdiction and investigating reported or suspected violations.
- 2. Tribal ceremonial shellfisheries are discrete in time and place and are opened by tribal regulation as provided for in the individual tribe's fisheries ordinance. Tribal regulations opening a ceremonial shellfishery designate the catch area and specific beach opened for harvest, the species to be harvested, the gear restrictions, date and times of opening and closure, a limited number of tribal members authorized to participate in the ceremonial fishery, the target harvest quantity and the reporting requirements. The designated tribal members participating in the fishery are required by regulation to report the harvest quantity to the tribal fisheries office within 24 hours of the close of the fishery. Records of time, place, and quantity of harvest are maintained by the tribal fisheries office.
- 3. Tribal subsistence shellfisheries are controlled by tribal annual and emergency regulations. Any beaches in the treaty area and subject to tribal harvest may be opened for tribal subsistence harvest, except those beaches closed for human health protection or for resource protection needs. Subsistence fishery daily bag limits per fisher are as follows:
 - a) Littleneck, manilla, butter, soft-shell: 50 pounds combined, of which there can be no more than 25 pounds combined total of littleneck and manilla clams
 - b) Horse: 50 clams
 c) Geoduck: 6 clams
 d) Cockle: 50 clams
 e) Mussel: 40 pounds
 f) Oyster: 100 oysters
- 4. Management provisions that govern the commercial fisheries can prevent the sale of non-commercial harvest. Commercial clam and oyster harvests are managed by specific beach openings and closures using emergency tribal regulations. Catch is accounted for primarily by an on-the-beach monitor who records information contained on the attached harvest monitor form. The tribe maintains all such records of commercial catch. At the time of sale, all tribal commercial catches are recorded on fish receiving tickets which are compiled, summarized and entered into a data base which is maintained at the tribal fisheries office.